

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO.
OBSA-9500

TO
INFO
Chief, SR
Chief, WE
COS, Germany
COB, Frankfurt

HEADQUARTERS FILE NO.

= =

FROM
Chief of Station, = = OJP

DATE
29 April 1960

SUBJECT
REDWOOD/AEMARSH
AEMARSH/15 - Status Report

RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE)
MARKED FOR INDEXING
NO INDEXING REQUIRED

ACTION REQUIRED
For your information

INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED
BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY

- REFERENCE(S)
- A. OGSW-6558, 3 March 1960
 - B. FROB-6658, 8 April 1960

1. Under separate cover are contact reports of meetings with Subject on 19 February, 25, 29 March and 12 April 1960. Also included is a political information report of questionable value. During the last meeting with Subject, he was advised that we were not interested in other future meetings and that we considered this contact severed for the time being due to Subject's lack of access to matters of operational interest. He was given a letter drop for getting in touch with us in case something occurs in the future which is of interest. The agreement to break this contact was amicable and Subject's primary concern was mostly about having to buy his own cigarettes now.

2. In answer to ref B, Subject has not sent any letters to = = during the past 6 months. He has received a letter from = = on 24 November 1959. No code was used. A personal message only. Subject did not answer it. On 22 December 1959 Subject received a letter from = = in which the latter indicated that he has a new job now in a Riga radio manufacturing factory. Part of this letter was encoded in Subject's cipher method; the part reporting about the new job. No additional details. Subject did not answer the letter. On 26 November 1959 and on 18 February 1960 Subject received mail from = = Both letters were personal. Only chit chat about family. No code, and Subject did not reply. Subject has been instructed that we have no operational interest in his correspondence with = = and requested that he stop it. We assume that ref B indicates no further FROB interest in Subject. Therefore a continued coordination of this case with FROB appears unnecessary.

3. In answer to ref A, para 4, you are correct. = = never made a trip to the West; we meant = = Subject corresponded in Latvia only with the persons indicated in separate cover attachments, Contact Report 25, 29 March, para 7. Concerning your request for additional information on Janis LUKINS, see Contact Report 12 April 1960, para 2. Also included in the separate attachment is additional information on Subject's three sub-agents.

4. During the past three months, Subject's access to operational information has been explored and he was asked to express his views on what he could do for us. His reply indicated that his primary source of information is = = who is a witting sub-source of Subject's and who even submitted an operational expense account for Subject to collect from us. We believe it would be more productive to establish direct contact with = = We thus benefit not only in obtaining better production but also by eliminating the waste of time in handling Subject who, on his own, has very limited access and is as a person uniquely unqualified in conducting clandestine operations. He is quiet, naive, gullible and has no appreciation for CE aspects in intelligence matters which, when running subigre ops, is a definite shortcoming. In reviewing Subject's possible future utility, he is inclined to serve us repeatedly with his old former military comrades as agent candidates. Our relationship with Subject has not been terminated formally, i.e. no quit claim has been signed and he has a means to get in

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

IS COPY IN 74-6-138/3
7602

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

EXEMPTIONS Section 3(b)
(2)(A) Privacy
(2)(C) Methods/Sources
(2)(D) Foreign Relations

Declassified and Approved for Release
by the Central Intelligence Agency
Date: 2005
X CONTINUED

FORM 10-57 53 (40)
USE PREVIOUS EDITION.
REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.

SECRET

PAGE NO. 1

File in

SECRET

068A-9500

touch with us if necessary. However we believe that nothing is to be gained under present operational circumstances, in meeting with Subject, and we consider this a separation which can be altered if future contingencies justify it. In our approach to ☐ ☐ we hope to be able to compartment Subject completely and thus avoid his involvement in that operation. Since arrangements for an independent contact with ☐ ☐ were made quite some time ago, we intend to implement these arrangements soonest. There are no outstanding financial or operational commitments with Subject and we foresee no problems effecting this break in contact.

2 Enclosures:

- A. Contact Reports (3) use
- B. Political info on Latvia use

29 April 1960

Distribution:

- 3 - SR w/att use
- 1 - WE w/o atts
- 1 - Germany w/o atts
- 1 - Frankfurt w/o atts
- 2 - ☐ ☐

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

SECRET